

<p style="text-align: center;">Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</p>	<p>Application No.</p>	<p>Applicant(s)</p>	
	10/597,425	HACHISUKA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	D. S. Nakarani	1794	

All Participants:

(1) D. S. Nakarani

(2) Mr. Andrew O. Larsen, Attorney

Date of Interview: 23 October 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

2 and 3

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Larsen agreed to amend claims 2 and 3 by inserting the phrase ", and wherein the thickness of the inorganic thin film is from 0.1 to 500 nm" before the period to avoid rejection of claims under 35 USC 112, second paragraph.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/D. S. Nakarani/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 5:30 PM

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)